

Meeting Minutes

January 28 2020 Rm. 18-206 1700 W. Hillsdale Blvd. San Mateo, CA 2:30 – 4:30 pm <u>Website</u>

Executive Committee 2017-2019

Arielle Smith President

Peter von Bleichert Vice-President

Rosemary Nurre Treasurer

> Daniel Keller Secretary

ACADEMIC SENATE

Executives Present

Name of Officer	Executive Committee Role
Peter von Bleichert	Vice-president
Daniel Keller	Secretary
Rosemary Nurre	Treasurer
Arielle Smith	President

Senators Present

Name of Senator	Division
Yvette Butterworth	Math/Science
Wendell Doman	Language Arts
Stephen Heath	Business
Dyana Huaraz	ASCSM
Tatiana Irwin	CASS
Vincent Li	Business
Sunny Martin	Counseling
Tim Maxwell	Language Arts
Teresa Morris	Curriculum Committee/Library
Liz Schuler	PD Coordinator/CTL
Lia Thomas	ASLT
Kevin Sinarle	Counseling

Others Present

Name	Representing
Allie Fasth	Guided Pathways
Laura Demsetz	CASS

Item	Presenter	Time	Details	Action/ Information/ Procedure
Approval of today's agenda	President	2:35	Approved	Procedure
Approval of past minutes	President	2:38	Approved	Procedure
Public Comment	Public	2:40	 Jerimiah: A "plug" for the Enacting Educational Equity group: The group could use a few more participants, so Jeremiah shared a little about the nature of the conversations and topics the groups will be discussing this term. The conversations are about very serious and important topics, but the group also has a lot of fun just sharing experiences and ideas about how we can improve equity. They focus not only on classroom practices but on how we interact with students in general, both outside and inside the classroom. Jerimiah read some positive feedback from participants in the group—people loved being in dialogue with peers and learning from each other in a collaborative environment. Topics for this term include understanding metacognition; discussing who is positively and negatively impacted by culturally relevant pedagogy; learning about ableism; and understanding the role of equity in STEM education The group meets the 3rd Thursday in the afternoon. Please contact Jeremiah if you are interested. simsj@smccd.edu Rosemary: speaking as Co-chair of the faculty evaluations guidance committee: Theresa Martin has volunteered to take Rosemary's place after her retirement next year. 	Information

New Senate Business

	Item	Presenter	Time	Details	Action (Motion/Resolution) / Information/ Discussion
1	President's Report	Arielle	2:50	Announcements and updates	Information

				 Update on on-campus tabling discussion: Arielle was able to check with Mike Holtzclaw about our questions (see minutes from the 1/14 meeting). Later in the term, we will bring Aaron Schaefer in to one of our meetings to go into the issue further, but the gist of it is that we are very limited in what we are able to do. There are a few restrictions that might apply to the issues raised last time: for example, no one is able to impede public walkways or disrupt classes. We might be able to discuss further what might happen if someone tabling makes a comment that would be considered inappropriate in the classroom setting—this could also be considered disrupting the campus. Please full out the scheduling survey that Arielle sent over email if you play any role in scheduling decisions, and please encourage your colleagues to fill it out also Tim Maxwell: Tim will be meeting to discuss dual enrollment with Tiffany and Mike Holtzclaw. They are hoping to hear feedback on what issues are coming up and want to be sure that they are addressing current concerns 	
2	ASCSM Update	Dyana Huaraz, ASCSM Rep	3:05	State senate candidates will be speaking on campus on February 6. Student senate will be meeting with the candidates prior to the event.	Information
3	Standing Committee Reports) Liz Schuler	3:10	Teaching and Learning Committee, Liz Schuler The CTL committee (made up of the library, assessment and academic excellence committees) are now joined as one. Unfortunately, there has not been a lot of participation, with as few as eight people attending meetings—not enough for a quorum— rather than the expected twenty. Rosemary noted that reduced attendance at committees seems to be a widespread problem. We may be busy, but we need representation on all of these. How can we enforce participation without having deans insist on attendance? Do we have a flow chart that shows all of the different committees and lists all the participants? It would be nice to have a visual representation. Liz will follow up in future meetings to discuss ways we might increase attendance. However, we also discussed a related problem: Liz is an adjunct at CSM and is already carrying a heavy load of extra work. It is unfair to expect her to also do the work of tracking people down and increasing attendance at meetings.	Information

			Arielle noted that faculty participation in committees	
			is a future discussion topic.	
5	Action Items	3:10	1 . Allie: Guided Pathways Scale of Adoption Self-	Action
			Assessment Report	
			The Guided Pathways group has been looking at what	
			other California community colleges are doing to	
			implement this program in addition to focusing on	
			self-assessment.	
			A draft of the current report, which asks us to rate	
			ourselves on a 0-5 scale in terms of the different pillars	
			of the project, was sent out via email to all members	
			of the college.	
			The report is broken into 4 sections. Because it would	
			take up too much time to go over all of them, Allie	
			focused on the teaching and learning section.	
			In some areas, the group rated the college as being "at	
			scale." For example, we do use the results of learning	
			outcomes assessments to improve teaching and	
			learning through program review, professional	
			development, and other intentional campus efforts.	
			In other areas, we are currently "not systematic" in	
			the changes we are making, though some programs	
			have begun make changes. For example, Career	
			Education, Nursing, and Business are incorporating	
			opportunities for students to tour companies, and	
			attend career fairs.	
			Another pillar that is currently "not systematic" asks	
			whether the college helps students "document their	
			learning for employers and universities through	
			portfolios and other means beyond transcripts." Tim	
			Maxwell noted that some of the practices described	
			(such as E-portfolios) were attempted in the past but	
			seem to have just faded away and been forgotten. Service learning is another example of a program that	
			was once fairly robust but has disappeared. Will	
			Guided Pathways help restart these efforts? At this	
			point, Allie is just here to share the information, but	
			we can definitely continue this discussion in the	
			future.	
			Another goal is "student engagement"—GP has done	
			student focus groups in addition to having students	
			serve on the committee. Tatiana mentioned that it	

		[]			1
				would help to have formerly enrolled students who	
				are now in 4 year schools or even in the workforce	
				comment on what would have helped them. Currently	
				enrolled students may have a very different sense that	
				students who have the benefit of hindsight.	
				Allie is requesting approval of the document, but the	
				document is not complete yet. So for now we cannot	
				vote to give approval—ideally this would have been a	
				discussion item. We should have the completed	
				document by February 7 and have it as an action item	
				on February 11 th .	
				Hiring Committee Approvals	
				a) EOPS/CARE Counselor	
				Approved	
				b) Biology	
				Approved	
				c) Personal Counselor	
				Approved	
				2. Faculty Peer Evaluation Committee Approvals	
				a) CASS	
				b) LAD	
				c) Counseling	
				d) Business	
				e) Kinesiology, Dance, Athletics	
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
				All approved with one abstention	
6.	Discussion items		3:55	1) Attendance policy draft	Discussion
				No one has sent in any comments on the latest draft	
				that Arielle sent out. If there are no further comments,	
				it should be an action item. However, we will wait	
				until all divisions have met and discussed the policy.	
				Our last February meeting (2/25) should give everyone	
				time.	
				Kevin noted that the piece on "extenuating	
				circumstances" should be clarified: the DRC should	
				only be contacted regarding "disability related"	
				accommodations.	
1 1					

We should also be sure that the time for a grade change is one year—in line with policies on making up work through incompletes. Tatiana suggested that the line about "instructors may require documentation" to verify extenuating circumstances might need to be clarified: instructors
do not have to accept the verification just because it is documented. Some situations are complex, and it should be up to the instructor to determine whether the documentation is valid and appropriate. This might be better addressed in individual instructors'
 syllabi. We should also discuss this in our divisions. Arielle will make a few more small changes before bringing the policy back as an action item. 2) Board Policy review for feedback
a) District Mission (1.01) All the language in the current document comes from already approved documents with minor changes noted by track changes.
Tatiana: we should also be committed to growth beyond our class—so we might want to add something to "meeting our students where they are." Tim suggested that we might add, to the final bullet
point, that the climate supports student " <i>learning</i> and success" and not just success—particularly because "success" can be narrowly defined as just passing classes.
We will send this to DPGC for approval Monday. Reminder: Arielle will not be here for the next meeting—Pete will be leading.